UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,182	01/18/2002	Pier Giuseppe Pelicci	0380-P02669US0	6367
	7590 04/14/200 MAN, HERRELL & S	EXAMINER		
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			ANGELL, JON E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/937,182	PELICCI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
J. E. Angell	1635		

	J. E. Angell	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the con	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH ').	ng date of the final rejection IE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS		· '''				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bether the second seco	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);				
appeal; and/or	ler form for appear by materially is	educing or simplifying t	ne issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9,10,12,19,21,22,24,27,36,37,46,47 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-8,11,13-18,26,2</u>	<u>d 52</u> . 8-35,38 and 42-45.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10.	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	/J. E. Angell/ Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 1635				

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment obviated the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (written description) as the claims are now limited to antisense nucleic acid molecules. However, the amendment does not overcome the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (scope of enablement) for the reasons of record, because the claims still encompass in vivo embodiments including treating an animal having vascular omplications of diabetes. Applicants argue that other patents have been issued that are not limited to in vitro embodiments and list six different companies that are "actively involved" in developing antisense therapeutics. Furthermore, Applicants indicate that a pubmed search using the term "antisense" identifies more than 28,000 references and indicate that they have provided copies of "several recent pulications". In response, every case is considered on its own merits. Furthermore, the number of references identified in pubmed search using the search term "antisense" does not provide evidence that the instantly claimed method is fully enabled. It is noted that no references were submitted with the after final amendment. All references previously submitted have been considered. Should Applicants wish to have any further references considered, they should file a proper Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) and a legible copy of each reference. Applicants argue that considering the infromation submitted, the claims are fully enabled because undue experimentation would not be required. In response, the information submitted was considered and addressed herein. However, the claims are not fully enabled because undue additional experimentation required to make and use the claimed invention to its full scope for the reasons previously set forth. The information submitted does not provide evidence that the instantly claimed method is fully enabled for in vivo embodiments.